

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/963,243	09/26/2001	Curt R. Eyster	LIFE063	LIFE063 8576	
7590 10/12/2005			EXAMINER		
LifeScan, Inc.			ALEXANDER, LYLE		
1000 Gibraltar 1 ATTN: Mayum		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Milpitas, CA 95035			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Annlia	otion No	A 1: 4/-)				
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/963	3,243	EYSTER ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			Alexander	1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	9SS			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply an will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a reply d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABAN	TION. / be timely filed S from the mailing date of this comm DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>26 July 2005</i>						
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
	,—							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	·	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the a	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[]	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform Pape	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date			imary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

4

Art Unit: 1743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claims 1,5 and 10 all claim "... at least a portion of the bottom surface... has a reflectivity of ... the support surrounding the aperture with a reflectivity of less than about ..." is unclear if this is a description of the same area or of two different areas. If two different areas are intended they might be designated as a first area and a second area.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hirayaja et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of the 2/23/05 Office action.

Hirayaja et al teach a test device and use with a colorimeter to make optical determinations from a colored liquid such as blood. Columns 5-6 lines 60 - 16 respectively teach the surfaces can be black in color resulting in a reflectance of 5.3% at a wavelength of 640 nm. The 7/26/05 amendments state the reagent pad is "immediately adjoining the receiving aperture". The Office maintains this language does not require the pad to be in contact with the aperture. The Office maintains this language is properly read on Hirayaja et al. that teaches the pad underlying the aperture without any structures between the two (e.g. the pad is immediately adjacent to the aperture because there are no intervening structures). The limitations of claims 3

and 11 require the aperture is suitable for receiving volumes of less than 5 microliters. In the absence of better defining what specific structure is intended to receive the volumes of less than 5 microliters, the Office maintains Hirayaja et al. would be suitable for receiving the claimed volumes of less than 5 microliters.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 3-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirayaja et al. in view of Phillips et al.

See Hirayaja et al. supra and the appropriate paragraph of paper 7.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/26/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' state the reagent pad is "immediately adjoining the receiving aperture" and defines over the art of record. The Office maintains this language does not require the pad to be in contact with the aperture. The instant language is best read as suggesting there can be no other structures between the aperture and the pad. This arrangement is met by Hirayaja et al. that teaches the pad underlying the aperture without any structures between the two (e.g. the pad is immediately adjacent to the aperture because there are no intervening structures).

Applicants' state Hirayaja et al. fails to teach the bottom portion around the aperture with the claimed reflectivity. In light of the above 35 USC 112 second paragraph issues, it is not clear

what structure is intended. However, the Office maintains Hirayaja et al. teach a bottom surface and aperture with indistinguishable optical characteristics.

Applicants' traverse the combined teachings of Hirayaja et al. in view of Phillips et al. on the grounds Hirayaja et al. is a defective primary reference (e.g. does not teach the claimed "immediately adjacent" relationship). The Office does not agree and maintains the rejections for the above reasons.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 703-308-3893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

